

Correspondence - Individuals - SHAW, Art

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA ARCHIVES	
Accession No.	98-123
Item No.	17
Box No.	3

30 July 1979

Art Shaw, Daysland, Alta.

Your comments on "100 Questions, 100 Answers".

1. Your observation that about a fifth of the questions dealt with in the little publication that you borrowed were "in poor taste" is a valid ~~xxx~~ criticism, I'm sure.
2. The publication did not originate with Technocracy, as you know. It dates, I believe, from the 1930's, and like a lot of other outside comments, is more of a misrepresentation than a reliable source of information. I consider it simply an item for the archives. In trying to collect the record of the organization we include the good with the bad. Its all part of history now.
3. Perhaps you remember that Technocracy did publish a "Questions and Answers" pamphlet many years ago. It has been superseded by the questions and answers in the "TTSD", and in Brief No. 22. If a large public response were to develop again, I expect the organization would re-issue some of those out-of-print items.
4. Come to think of it, when we opened this office a couple of years ago, we were pushing a "Questions and Answers" issue of the Technocracy Digest. We were unable to re-order it, perhaps because it was replaced by the TTSD.

Walt Fryers.
Walt Fryers,
Organizer 11353.



Jan 14/79


Hi Walt.

I was able to finish what I wanted in Edmonton on Mon. last so left on the 5:00 P.M. bus.

Re. the 100 questions 100 answers I find the following answers in poor taste. Ans. # 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 47, 51, 69, 72, 73, 79, 80, 84, 86, 91, 94, 97, + 99.

The others were in my view quite accurate, but would it not be better if Technocracy Inc. came out with its own questions & ans.

As ever
Art



Correspondence - Administrators - SHAL, 1911

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA ARCHIVES	
Accession No.	96-123
Item No.	117
Box No.	3

